3:09-cr-00825-CMC	Date Filed 04/15/13	Entry Number 1184	Page 1 of 1
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA			
COLUMBIA DIVISION			
United States of America,)		Cr. No. 3:09-825 (CMC)	
)		
v.		OPINION and ORDER	
)		
Easler Ball,)		
)		
Defendant.			
)		

This matter is before the court on Defendant's Motion to compel the Government to file a Rule 35 motion. ECF No. 1183. This motion is virtually identical to a motion previously denied by this court on September 6, 2012. ECF No. 1148.

Under Rule 35(b), the decision to move for reduction of sentence is solely in the discretion of the Government. The district court is without authority to compel such a motion unless Defendant can show that his cooperation is complete, and that the Government breached the plea agreement or that the Government's failure to file resulted from an unconstitutional motive or was not rationally related to a legitimate government goal. *Wade v. United States*, 504 U.S. 181, 185-86 (1992); *United States v. Butler*, 272 F.3d 683, 686 (4th Cir. 2001). Defendant must make a "substantial threshold showing," *Wade*, 504 U.S. at 186, of either of these elements which should constitute more than a recitation of the assistance provided.

Defendant has made no showing of any change in circumstances since the last time he filed a motion to compel. Additionally, Defendant has not provided any evidence of a breach of the plea agreement by the Government, nor has he made a "substantial threshold showing" relating to either element noted above. Therefore, Defendant's motion is **denied**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina April 15, 2013